

APPENDIX A CASE FILE REVIEW METHODOLOGY

SAMPLE OF CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS

A random sample of child support orders was collected and analyzed for this study. The cases that were reviewed covered orders established or modified during calendar year 1999. This timeframe was chosen in order to limit the review to orders established prior to the creation of the California Department of Child Support Services in 2000.

Conducted in October and November 2000, the case file reviews are limited to orders subject to the California Guideline; hence, exclude interstate cases that are not subject to the California Guideline. Also excluded are cases in which an order had net yet been established; and, orders where the combined family support and child support could not be separated from information in the case file. The research design of the case file review mimics that of the case file review conducted for the 1998 California Guideline Review report.

COUNTY SELECTION AND DEMOGRAPHICS

This case file review targeted 9 out of the 11 counties that participated in the 1998 review. These counties were selected because they represent a cross section of sociodemographic factors (e.g., geographic location, relative wealth, number of child support cases). The counties that participated in the study are Alameda, Fresno, Los Angeles, San Diego, San Luis Obispo, Santa Clara, Siskiyou, Solano, and Tulare. Amador and Tehema Counties were excluded from this review because of difficulties getting the necessary information and the small number of cases that were being sampled from those counties.

Table A-1 compares socio-demographic characteristics from the 1998 review with more recent information for all 11 counties.



EXCEL FILE HERE



SAMPLE SIZE

The last case file review targeted a random sample of over 3,000 orders and obtained complete file information from 2,987 orders. For this study, a random sample of 1,000 cases was targeted — one third the sample of the earlier study— which is more than adequate to detect significant differences between the findings of the two case file reviews. Sufficient information was obtained from 991 orders for the current sample. The desired county-specific sampling size for this study was based on a percentage of the previous study's sample size, with a minimum of 15 cases per county. Table A-2 depicts the proposed sample size for each county.

Table A-2						
	Previous	Sample	Current Study Sample			
	Number of Orders Examined	% of Sample	Proposed Sampling	Actual Number of Orders Examined	% of Sample	
Alameda	190	6.4%	65	66	6.7%	
Amador	8	0.3%	15	0	0.0%	
Fresno	468	15.7%	154	153	15.4%	
Los Angeles	835	28.0%	276	303	30.6%	
San Diego	453	15.2%	149	150	15.1%	
San Luis	60	2.0%	20	20	2.0%	
Obispo						
Santa Clara	369	12.4%	122	108	10.9%	
Siskiyou	55	1.8%	18	18	1.8%	
Solano	133	4.5%	44	14	4.4%	
Tehama	26	0.9%	15	0	0.0%	
Tulare	390	13.1%	129	129	13.0%	
TOTAL	2,987	100%	1,007	991	100%	

Method for Random Sampling of Cases

In order to remove the effect of bias in selecting case files to be reviewed, a random sampling technique was employed.

- 1. All Family Law/District Attorney cases filed from January 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999 were identified.
- 2. Every tenth case was pulled for review.
- 3. Each case that was pulled was examined to determine if there was a current child support order. Cases with orders for arrears or health insurance only, were not added to the sample.



The process of pulling cases and checking for a current child support order was repeated until enough cases were pulled to reach the proposed sample size for each county, or all of the Family Law/District Attorney cases had been reviewed. If the sampling quota was not reached via this process, a second-pass sampling method was employed. Exhibit A-1 contains the detailed instructions provided to each county on the sampling process.

Exhibit A-1

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CASE FILE REVIEW FOR CALIFORNIA CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINE STUDY

- <u>Step 1</u> Identify all Family Law/District Attorney cases that were filed from January 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999.
- Step 2 Pull every 10th case for review.
- <u>Step 3</u> For each case pulled, look for a "current" child support order.

DEFINITION

A "current" child support order **IS** an ongoing order for the support of one or more children that was calculated using the California Child Support Guideline.

A "current" child support order **IS NOT** an arrears-only order or an order for payment of health insurance. These orders were not calculated using the Guideline.

(a) If there is a "current" child support order that meets the definition, add the case to the sample.

OR

- (b) If there is not a "current" child support order, return the case for refiling.
- <u>Step 4</u> Repeat Steps 1-3; that is, continue this process of pulling cases and looking for "current" child support orders until you have either:
 - (a) reviewed enough cases to meet the quota of cases for your County

OR

(b) gone through all the eligible family law/district attorney cases but have not reached the case quota for your County.



- <u>Step 5</u> If you have gone through all the eligible family law/district attorney cases but have not reached the case quota for your County, you will need to review more cases. The table below shows you how to select the sample for the additional cases. See examples below.
 - *Example 1.* From the table below, if you reviewed 75% of the case quota the first time through, then you need to pull every 30th eligible case the second time through.
 - *Example 2.* If you reviewed 35% of the case quota the first time through, then you need to pull every 5th eligible case the second time through.

If you have gone through all eligible family law/district attorney cases, but have not reached the case quota for your County, use the information below to select additional cases for review. (Also, see <u>Step 5</u>)

If XX% of the case quota was obtained the first time you selected	Pull every Xth case the second time
cases, then	through the cases.
5%	1
10%	2
15%	3
20%	3
25%	4
30%	5
35%	5
40%	7
45%	8
50%	10
55%	12
60%	15
65%	19
70%	23
75%	30
80%	40
85%	57
90%	90
95%	100

<u>Step 6</u> Repeat steps until you have reviewed enough cases to equal the case quota for your County.

<u>Step 7</u> Review and enter information on the Data Collection Tool for each case you select that has a "current" child support order. (Please refer to the attached copy of the data collection tool and accompanying instructions.)



- <u>Step 8</u> Make copies of the documents you used to get the information for the Data Collection Tool for at least 10% of the cases you reviewed <u>or</u> for 10 CHILD SUPPORT cases, whichever is greater.
 - If the documents are paper forms, please make sure they are stapled and have the file number clearly identified on the document(s).
 - If the documents are scanned in, please name the electronic file beginning with the county initials (as referenced in table below), followed by an underscore "_" and then by the assigned file number for the case. For example, if the case is from Solano County, the file name would be SOL_FO15604.

Alamed	Fresno	Los	San	Santa	San	Solano	Tulare	Amador	Siskiyo	Tehama
a		Angeles	Diego	Clara	Luis				u	
					Obispo					
AL	FR	LA	SD	SC	SLO	SOL	TU	AM	SI	TE

Step 9 Please use the Federal Express forms provided with the instruction package to return the data collection instruments and supporting documentation to Policy Studies Inc. (PSI). The forms are already partially completed with PSI's address and billing information. You need to write in your name, your telephone number, and the date you mailed the materials

<u>Step 10</u> Please call Sara Alan at Policy Studies Inc. [303 863-0900] when you send the Federal Express package.

METHOD FOR RECORDING THE DATA

Researchers from PSI reviewed all of the case files for Alameda, Fresno, San Diego and San Luis Obispo Counties. County Staff reviewed case files for Santa Clara, Siskiyou and Solano Counties. For Tulare and Los Angeles Counties, a combination of PSI and County Staff conducted the case file reviews. The Case File Review Tool used to analyze the orders is included in Exhibit A-2 along with detailed instructions on how to use the review tool in Exhibit A-3.

Exhibit A-2 Case File Review Tool

SECTION I: CASE INFORMATION				
Q1. County	Q2. ORDER DATE	/	/	
Q3. CASE#		(MUST BE BETWEEN 1	1/1/99-12/31/99)	



		•						
Q4. Type of Case (Check one) DA Case Non-DA Case								
Q5. MODIFICATION OR NEW ORDER? (CHECK ONE) NEW ORDER MODIFIED ORDER								
Q6. ORDER TYPE (CHECK ONE) DEFAULT CONTESTED STIPULATION								
SECTION II: PARENT INFORMATION								
O1 NUMBER OF CHILDREN SUBJECT TO THIS ORDER (CIRCLE								
ONE) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	8 9 10 oi	R MORE						
ANSWER THE FOLLOWING FOR EACH PARENT CONSIDERED IN THE ORDER CALCULATION.	MOTHER	FATHER						
Q2. APPROXIMATE % OF CHILD'S TIME WITH PARENT	%	%						
Q3. IS INCOME IMPUTED? (CIRCLE ONE)	Y N	Y N						
Q4. IS INCOME PRESUMED? (CIRCLE ONE)	Y N	Y N						
Q5. IS PARENT RECEIVING TANF/CALWORKS AT THE TIME THE ORDER WAS ESTABLISHED?	Y N DK	Y N DK						
Q6. MONTHLY GROSS INCOME (IF IMPUTED, ENTER THAT AMT; IF DON'T KNOW OR PRESUMED, ENTER DK)	\$	\$						
Q7. MONTHLY NET INCOME (IF IMPUTED, ENTER THAT AMT; IF DON'T KNOW OR PRESUMED,	\$	\$						
ENTER DK)	Ψ	Ψ						
Q8. WAS A HARDSHIP DEDUCTION APPLIED?	Y N	Y N						
CHECK THE REASON FOR THE HARDSHIP DEDUCTION & PROVIDE THE AMOUNT BY REASON.								
a. OTHER MINOR CHILDREN								
b. EXTRAORDINARY MEDICAL EXPENSES	\$	\$						
c. CATASTROPHIC LOSSES	\$	\$						
N ARRIVING AT NET INCOME, -ORDERED CHILD	Y N DK							
, COURT ORDERED SPOUSAL SUPPORT,								
SUBTRACTED THAT WAS NOT PART OF A HARDSHIP DEDUCTION? FYES,	I YES, # of	If of						
CONSIDERED IN CHILD SUPPORT BEING SUBTRACTED.)	=	CHILDREN						
Q10. WHICH PARENT IS THE OBLIGOR CHECK ONE)								
OES OBLIGOR QUALIFY FOR LOW INCOME ADJUSTMENT? (V N	V N						
OBLIGOR ONLY) O QUALIFY, \$1,000 OR LESS	Y N	Y N						
AS THE LOW INCOME ADJUSTMENT GRANTED? (
OBLIGOR ONLY)	\$	\$						
(IF PUT IN THE MONTHLY ADJUSTMENT AMOUNT)								
Q13. I INCOME XPENSE D SIMPLIFIED INANCIAL S	N	Y N						
COMPLETED FOR THE PARENT?								
IF SO WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF HIS OR HER RENT OR MORTGAGE AS INDICATED ON THE FORM?		\$						
IS PARENT REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY	Y N	Y N						
ECTION III: C SUPPORT RDER								
Q1. A B S \$								
NOT INCLUDING ADD ONS								
S THIS THE GUIDELINE AMOUNT								
CIRCLE ONE YES NO \$ U								
GUIDELINE AMT								
Q3. I NO Q2, ? CHECK								
(1) SALE OF FAMILY RESIDENCE IS DEFERRED (2) EXTRAORDINARY HIGH INCOME								
(3) PARENT NOT CONTRIBUTING COMMENSURATE TO CUSTODIAL (41) DIFFERENT TIME SHARING ARRANGEMENTS								
TIME (3) PARENT NOT CONTRIBUTING COMMENSURATE TO CUSTODIAL (41) DIFFERENT TIME SHARING ARRANGEMENTS								
(4II) EQUAL CUSTODY, UNEQUAL HOUSING (4III) CHILD HAS SPECIAL NEEDS								

930					
STIPULATION		UNJUST			
OTHER	(SPECIFY)	UNSTATED			
Q4. PAYOR IS: (CIRCLE ONE) MOTHER FATHER					
PLEASE COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE					
Q5. ADDITIONAL CHILD SUPPORT	OWEI (CIRCL		MONTHLY AMOUNT OR %		
(1) WORK- OR EDUCATION-RELATED CHILD CARE COSTS	MOTHER	FATHER			
(2) CHILD'S UNINSURED HEALTH CARE COSTS	MOTHER	FATHER			
(3) CHILD'S EDUC. COSTS OR SPECIAL NEEDS	MOTHER	FATHER			
(4) TRAVEL EXPENSES FOR VISITATION	MOTHER	FATHER			
(5) OTHER	MOTHER	FATHER			
Q6. CHECK ALL THAT ARE APPLICABLE					
MOTHER FATHER IS ORDERED TO COMPLETE JOB SEARCH					
MOTHER FATHER IS ORDERED TO PROVIDE HEALTH INSURANCE					
MOTHER FATHER DOES NOT HAVE HEALTH INSURANCE AVAILABLE AT REASONABLE COST AT THIS TIME					
MOTHER FATHERSHALL ASSIGN THE RIGH	IT OF REIMBUR	SEMENT FOR HEALTH IN	NSURANCE TO THE OTHER PARENT		

Exhibit A-3 Instructions for Case File Review

	SECTION I: CASE INFORMATION
QUESTION	INSTRUCTIONS/DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLE
Q1. COUNTY	COUNTY THAT ENTERED CHILD SUPPORT ORDER. THIS MUST BE A COUNTY IN CALIFORNIA. IF THE
	ORDER ORIGINATES FROM ANOTHER STATE, IT DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR THE CASE FILE REVIEW.
Q2. ORDER DATE	THIS IS THE DATE THE ORDER WAS ENTERED. IT MUST BE BETWEEN JANUARY 1, 1999 AND DECEMBER
-	31, 1999 to qualify for the case file review.
Q3. CASE NUMBER	THIS IS THE NUMBER ASSIGNED BY THE COURT.
Q4. Type of Case	DA CASES REFERS TO AB 1058 CASES FILED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AS THE IV-D AGENCY IN 1999
	OR CASES WHERE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS ESTABLISHED OR MODIFIED AN ORDER IN A CASE FILED IN
	1999 OR IN A PRIOR YEAR. GENERALLY, IF FORM SERIES 1299 (GOVERNMENT) FORMS ARE USED, IT IS
	CONSIDERED A DA CASE.
Q5. MODIFICATION OR	MODIFICATION. THERE SHOULD BE A MOTION OR A STIPULATION TO MODIFY IN THE CASE FILE.
New Order?	NEW CASE. THERE IS NO MOTION TO MODIFY. THE MOTION IS TO ENTER AN ORDER.
Q6. ORDER TYPE	DEFAULT. THERE IS A REQUEST TO ENTER A DEFAULT ORDER IN THE FILE (FORM 1299.10).
	STIPULATION. THERE MUST BE A SIGNED STIPULATION OR AGREED TO STIPULATION AS INDICATED BY THE
	COURT.
	CONTESTED. THERE WAS A HEARING AND THE ORDER AMOUNT WAS DETERMINED BY THE COURT.
SECTION II: PARENT INFO	RMATION

FORM COMPLETED BY: ___

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/REMARKS



QUESTION	INSTRUCTIONS/DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLE						
Q1. NUMBER OF CHILDREN	THIS IS T	HE NUMBER C	F CHILDREN SUBJECT			<u> </u>	
Q2. APPROXIMATE % OF		THIS REFERS TO THE PERCENTAGE OF TIME USED TO CALCULATE THE ORDER AMOUNT. IF THERE IS A					
CHILD'S TIME WITH PARENT	COMPUTER CALCULATION PRINTOUT, IT WILL BE A LINE ITEM IN THE PRINTOUT. IF A FORM 1296.31B IS						
CHILD 3 TIME WITH TAKENT		COMPLETED, IT WILL BE IN BOX 2 OF PG 1. IT IS ALSO ON PG 4 OF INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION.					
					OX TO INDICATE, "DO		ATION.
Q3. IS INCOME IMPUTED?					AY BE IMPUTED TO TA		KS
(CIRCLE ONE)					ED AT MINIMUM WAGE		
(CINCLE GIVE)					MONTH) OR \$7XX NE		
					CAPACITY (E.G., BASE		
	EMPLOYN				,		
Q4. IS INCOME PRESUMED?			JMED IF INCOME IS M	SSING. THIS IS	MORE LIKELY TO OCC	UR IN DEFAULT O	RDERS
(CIRCLE ONE)					1 (SUMMONS & COME		
(333322 33.2)					г (From 1299.17, вох		
					S) ON PAGE 1, BOX 3.		
			STABLISHED AT THE F				,
		NUMBER OF	ORDERS ESTA		ORDERS ESTA		
		CHILDREN SUBJECT TO	(07/01/1998 -06 APPROX, PRESUMED	/30/1999) AMOUNT OF	07/01/1999 -0 APPROX, PRESUMED	6/30/2000 AMOUNT OF	
		ORDER	INCOME	ORDER	INCOME	ORDER	
		1	\$1,920	\$381	\$1,966	\$ 390	
		2	\$1,974	\$624	\$2,020	\$ 639	
	 	<u>3</u>	\$1,958 \$2,030	\$775 \$920	\$2,005 \$2,080	\$ 793 \$ 942	
		5	\$2,140	\$1,049	\$2,192	\$1,074	
		6	\$2,308	\$1,180	\$2,365	\$1,208	
		<u>7</u> 8	\$2,432 \$2,610	\$1,296 \$1,412	\$2,490 \$2,672	\$1,327 \$1,445	
		9	\$2,850	\$1,531	\$2,922	\$1,567	
		10	\$3,155	\$1,662	\$3,235	\$1,701	
O5 IC DADENT CURRENTLY	IE DA C	ACE DEVIEW	COMPLANT ISTU	COMPLAINTECE	EKS SUPPORT FOR PER	NODE PRIOR TO TE	IE DATE
Q5. IS PARENT CURRENTLY RECEIVING					ME COMPLAINTS WILL		
TANF/CALWORKS					, REVIEW INCOME & E		
TAINI/CALWORKS					ES ON BOTH FORMS TO		
	CHILDREN ARE RECEIVING PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. IF IT CANNOT BE IDENTIFIED THROUGH EITHER OF THESE SOURCES, PLEASE INDICATE 'DON'T KNOW' BY CIRCLING DK.						
Q6. Monthly Gross		IF IMPUTED, ENTER THAT AMT; IF DON'T KNOW OR PRESUMED, ENTER DK					
INCOME		,	,		,		
Q7. MONTHLY NET	IF IMPUT	ED, ENTER TH	AT AMT; IF DON'T KN	OW OR PRESUM	IED, ENTER DK		
INCOME							
Q8. WAS A HARDSHIP	HARDSH	IP DEDUCTION	IS MAY BE NOTED ON	THE COMPUTER	PRINTOUT OF THE GU	IDELINE CALCULA	ATION
DEDUCTION APPLIED?	OR FORM	ıs1296.31B (ı	BOX 4, PAGE 1). IF T	HERE ARE HARI	OSHIP DEDUCTION(S),	CIRCLE "Y" TO IN	NDICATE
	"YES" FO	R THE PAREN	T RECEIVING THE HAI	RDSHIP DEDUCT	ION. IF THERE IS NO F	HARDSHIP DEDUCT	ΓΙΟΝ,
	CIRCLE "N" FOR "NO."						
Q8 A-C REASONS FOR	IF THERE IS A HARDSHIP DEDUCTION(S) AS INDICATED IN Q8, PLEASE CHECK OFF THE REASON(S) FOR THE						
HARDSHIP DEDUCTION.	HARDSHIP DEDUCTION AND THE AMOUNT APPLIED TO EACH REASON.						
Q9. ADJUSTMENT FOR	ADJUSTMENTS FOR PRIOR ORDERS OR ADDITIONAL CHILDREN MAY BE NOTED ON THE COMPUTER						
PRIOR ORDERS OR					THE INCOME AND EX		TON OR
ADDITIONAL CHILDREN.					IS IS AN ADJUSTMENT		
					, PLEASE INDICATE, "		
					EN, INDICATE THE NUM		
					PUT, "0" FOR THE NU		
Q10. WHICH PARENT IS					ILD SUPPORT TO THE C		
THE OBLIGOR					Y BE OBLIGORS. IF TH		SE NOTE
	THIS IN T	HE LAST SECT	TON WHERE THERE IS	SPACE FOR ADD	DITIONAL COMMENTS.		



Q11. Does obligor	TO QUALIFY, OBLIGOR NET MONTHLY INCOME MUST BE \$1,000 OR LESS.
QUALIFY FOR LOW INCOME	
ADJUSTMENT?	
Q12. WAS THE LOW INCOME ADJUSTMENT GRANTED?	THE COURT MAY APPLY A LOW-INCOME ADJUSTMENT IF THE OBLIGOR'S NET MONTHLY INCOME IS \$1,000 OR LESS. THE AMOUNT OF THE ADJUSTMENT IS AT THE COURT'S DISCRETION. FAMILY CODE SECTION 4055 REQUIRES THAT THE COURT DOCUMENT SPECIFY THE AMOUNT OF THE ADJUSTMENT. IT WILL BE RECORDED ON THE COMPUTER PRINT-OUT, FORM 1296.31B (BOX 5 ON PG 1), OR FORM 1299.22 (BOX 3D-3, PAGE 2). IF THERE IS NOTHING MENTIONED ABOUT A LOW-INCOME ADJUSTMENT IN THE ORDER, ASSUME THAT IT WAS NOT GRANTED AND CIRCLE, "NO."
Q13. HAS AN INCOME & EXPENSE	
DECLARATION OR SIMPLIFIED FINANCIAL STATEMENT BEEN COMPLETED FOR THE PARENT? IF SO, WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF HIS OR HER RENT OR MORTGAGE?	If either an Income & Expense Declaration or Simplified Financial Statement is in the file for the parent, circle "Y" for "yes" for that parent. "Rent or Mortgage" is asked in box 2.a(1) of the Expense Information and box 8g. of the Simplified Financial Statement. If there is no Income & Expense Declaration or a Simplified Financial Statement in the file, leave "Rent or Mortgage" blank and circle "N" to denote they are not available.
	A TOTAL DESIGNATION AND REMOTED ON THE COMPUTED DESIGNATION OF THE CHIEF HE
Q14. IS PARENT REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY?	ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION MAY BE NOTED ON THE COMPUTER PRINTOUT OF THE GUIDELINE WORKSHEET CALCULATION OR ON THE TOP LEFT CORNER OF MOST FORMS. IF A DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT DEFAULTS AND DOES NOT FILE ANY PAPERS, ASSUME HE/SHE IS SELF REPRESENTED (I.E., PRO PER). ASSUME THE CUSTODIAL PARENT IN DA ACTIONS IS SELF REPRESENTED UNLESS THERE IS AN INDICATION IN THE FILE THAT COUNSEL REPRESENTS HIM OR HER (E.G., CUSTODIAL PARENT'S ATTORNEY SIGNS STIPULATION.)
SECTION III: CHILD SUPPOR	,
Q1. AMOUNT OF BASE	TO ONDER
SUPPORT ORDERED (NOT INCLUDING ADD-ONS)	Enter base support. If zero order amount, enter \$0. Check box if order is reserved
Q2. IS THIS THE GUIDELINE AMOUNT?	IF THE CHILD SUPPORT ORDERED DIFFERS FROM THE GUIDELINE AMOUNT (AS NOTED ON A DISSOMASTER, SUPPORTTAX, OR ANY OTHER CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION DOCUMENT OR PLEADING), CIRCLE "NO" IN THE BOX GUIDELINE AMOUNT (YES/NO). IF THESE AMOUNTS ARE EQUAL, CIRCLE "YES." ALSO, PLEASE ENTER THE GUIDELINE AMOUNT.
Q3. If NO to Q2, what is the rebutting factor?	IF THE SUPPORT ORDER DIFFERS FROM THE GUIDELINE AMOUNT, LOOK FOR REBUTTING FACTORS NOTED IN THE ORDER. IF THERE ARE REBUTTING FACTORS, CHECK ALL OF THE APPLICABLE REASONS. IF NONE ARE STATED IN THE ORDER, CHECK THE LAST BOX, "UNSTATED."
Q4. PAYOR IS MOTHER OR FATHER?	CHECK EITHER THE "MOTHER" OR "FATHER." IF A THIRD PARTY IS PROVIDING CARE FOR THE CHILDREN, PLEASE NOTE WHO IS THE CUSTODIAN OF THE CHILD IN THE LAST SECTION OF THE SECOND PAGE WHERE THERE IS A SPACE FOR COMMENTS
Q5. ADDITIONAL CHILD SUPPORT (6) WORK OR EDUCATION - RELATED CHILD CARE COSTS (7) CHILD'S UNINSURED HEALTH CARE COSTS (8) CHILD'S EDUC. COSTS OR SPECIAL NEEDS (9) TRAVEL EXPENSES	Indicate whether there were add-ons for any of these special factors. Circle which parent owes the add-on. Also provide the monthly dollar amount or percentage of the add-on. If it is a dollar amount, please indicate so by using a \$ sign. If it is a percentage, please indicate so by using a % sign.
FOR VISITATION (10) OTHER	PLEASE NOTE WHAT THE "OTHER" EXPENSES ARE FOR IF STATED IN THE ORDER.
	•



Q6. CHECK ALL THAT ARE	
APPLICABLE	
IS ORDERED TO COMPLETE	PLEASE LEAVE BLANK IF YOU CANNOT DETERMINE.
JOB SEARCH	
IS ORDERED TO PROVIDE	
HEALTH INSURANCE	
DOES NOT HAVE HEALTH	
INSURANCE AVAILABLE AT	
REASONABLE COST AT THIS	
TIME	
SHALL ASSIGN THE RIGHT	
OF REIMBURSEMENT FOR	
HEALTH INSURANCE TO THE	
OTHER PARENT	

DATA ANALYSIS

The information obtained from the case file reviews was entered into an Excel spreadsheet by PSI research staff. Summary and descriptive statistics were derived using the SPSS statistical software application. Table A-3 lists all the variables used for analysis.

Table A-3					
	Variable	s Used for Da	ata Analysis		
Variable		Variable			
Number	Variable Label	Туре	Comments		
1	Internal ID #	Numeric	Unique ID assigned		
2	Q1. County	String	County that entered the order		
3	Q2. Order Date	Date	Must be between 1/1/99 and 12/31/99		
4	Q3. Case #	Numeric			
5	Q4. Case Type	String	DA or Non		
6	Q5. Mod or New Order	String	New or Modified		
7	Q6. Order Type	String	Stipulation, Default, Contested		
8	Q1. # of kids	Numeric			
9	Q2. MOM: % of time	Numeric			
10	Q3. MOM: Inc. imputed	String	Yes/No/Don't Know		
11	Q4. MOM: Inc. presumed	String	Yes/No/Don't Know		
12	Q5. MOM: on TANF?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know		
13	Q6. MOM Gross Income	Numeric			
14	Q7. MOM: Net Income	Numeric			
	Q8. MOM: Hardship				
15	applied	String	Yes/No/Don't Know		
	Q8: MOM: Hardship				
16	reason	String			
17	Q8: MOM: Hardship amt	Numeric			
	Q9. MOM: additional				
18	dependents?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know		
19	Q11. MOM: qualify for	String	Yes/No/Don't Know		



Table A-3							
	Variables Used for Data Analysis						
Variable	***	Variable	G				
Number	Variable Label	Type	Comments				
	low income Adjustment						
20	Q12. MOM: low income	Ctmin	Vas /Na /Dan't Vnovy				
20	adjustment applied? Q13. MOM: income	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
21	expense in file?	String	Yes/No				
22	Q13. MOM: rent?	Numeric	Amount of Rent or Mortgage (Monthly)				
23	Q14. MOM: has attorney?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
24	Q2. DAD: % of time	Numeric	1cs/1vo/ Bon t know				
25	Q3. DAD: Inc. imputed	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
26	Q4. DAD: Inc. presumed	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
27	Q5. DAD: on TANF?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
28	Q6. DAD Gross Income	Numeric					
29	Q7. DAD: Net Income	Numeric					
	Q8. DAD: Hardship						
30	applied	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
	Q8: DAD: Hardship						
31	reason	String					
32	Q8: DAD: Hardship Amt	Numeric					
	Q9. DAD: additional						
33	dependents?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
	Q11. DAD: qualify for low						
34	income adjustment?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
	Q12. DAD: Low Income	a .					
35	Applied?	String	Yes/No/Don't Know				
0.0	Q13. DAD: Income	G	N/ /NI				
36	Expense in File?	String	Yes/No				
37	Q13. DAD: rent?	Numeric	Amount of Rent or Mortgage (Monthly) Yes/No/Don't Know				
38	Q14. DAD: has attorney?	String Numeric	Base Order before add-ons				
	Q1. Order Amount Q1. Reserved		Yes/No				
40	Q2. Guidelines Applied	String	Yes/No/Unstated				
41	Q2. Guidelines Applied Q2. Guidelines Amt	String Numeric	165/ INO/ Offstateu				
42	Q3. Rebutting Factor	String					
10	Q4. Obligor is Mother or	Sumg					
44	Father?	String					
	Q5 (1) Child care owed by	~8					
45	whom?	String					
46	Q5 (1) Amt of Child Care	Numeric					
	Q5 (2) Child's uninsured						
47	costs owed by whom?	String					
	Q5 (2) Amt of uninsured						
48	costs	Numeric					
49	Q5 (3) Child's education	String					



Table A-3							
Variables Used for Data Analysis							
Variable		Variable					
Number	Variable Label	Type	Comments				
	owed by whom?						
50	Q5 (3) Amt of Child's Educ	Numeric					
	Q5 (4) Visitation travel						
51	expenses owed by whom	String					
	Q5 (4) Amt of visitation						
52	expenses	Numeric					
	Q5 (5) Other expenses						
53	owed by whom?	String					
	Q5 (5) Other expenses						
54	are?	String					
55	Q6. Job search ordered to?	String					
56	Q6. Health ins ordered to?	String					
	Q6. Health insurance not						
57	available to?	String					
	Q6. Health insurance right						
58	assigned to?	String					
59	Completed By	String	Name of Case File Reviewer				
60	Notes	String	Case Notes				
61	Reserved Order	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
62	Deviation	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
63	Type of Case	Numeric	1 = DA Case 0 = non-DA Case				
64	Deviation Reason	String					
			1 = Upward from Guideline 0 =				
65	Direction of Deviation	Numeric	Downward				
66	Amount of Deviation	Numeric	% Amount of Deviation from Guideline				
67	Mother Income Imputed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
68	Mother Income Presumed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
69	Father Income Imputed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
70	Father Income Presumed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
71	Obligor Income Imputed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
72	Obligor Income Presumed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No				
.~	Mother's Wage Bracket	ramerie	1 = <= \$1,000				
73	Gross	Numeric	2 = >\$1,000 and <=\$1,500				
70	Mother's Wage Bracket	1 varietie	3 = >\$1,500 and <=\$2,000				
74	Net	Numeric	4 = >\$2,000 and <=\$3,000				
1.1	Father's Wage Bracket	1 Variation	5 = >\$3,000				
75	Gross	Numeric	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
76	Father's Wage Bracket Net	Numeric					
10	Obligor's Wage Bracket	1 VUITIETIC					
77	Gross	Numeric					
11	Obligor's Wage Bracket	runnenc					
78	Net	Numeric					
10	INCL	rumenc					



Table A-3						
Variables Used for Data Analysis						
Variable		Variable				
Number	Variable Label	Type	Comments			
	Obligee's Wage Bracket					
79	Gross	Numeric				
	Obligee's Wage Bracket					
80	Net	Numeric				
81	Obligor Gross Income	Numeric				
82	Obligor Net Income	Numeric				
83	Obligee Gross Income	Numeric				
84	Obligee Net Income	Numeric				
85	Total Gross Income	Numeric				
86	Total Net Income	Numeric				
	Obligor % of Total Gross					
87	Income	Numeric				
	Obligor % of Total Net					
88	Income	Numeric				
89	Obligee Income Imputed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No			
90	Obligee Income Presumed	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No			
	Mom Represented by					
91	Attorney	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No			
	Dad Represented by					
92	Attorney	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No			
	Obligor Represented by					
93	Attorney	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No			
	Obligee Represented by					
94	Attorney	Numeric	1 = Yes 0 = No			
95	Number of Children	Numeric	1=1, 2=2, 3=3 or more children			
	Order Amount as a % of					
96	Obligor Net Income	Numeric	Variable 39 / Variable 82			

DATA AVAILABILITY

Table A-4 depicts the availability of the data elements analyzed. An indication that information for a specific data element is missing on a case may be the result of a field on a worksheet that is not complete. For example, a casefile may indicate that the guideline was not applied, but may not actually have a reference to what the prescribed guideline amount was. Therefore, the field for "Guideline Amount" would be missing in the data analysis.

Table A-4				
DATA AVAILABILITY				
Data Element	% Missing			
Q1. County	0.0%			
Q2. Order Date	1.0%			



Table A-4					
DATA AVAILABILITY					
Data Element	% Missing				
Q3. Case #	0.0%				
Q4. Case Type	0.0%				
Q5. Mod or New Order	4.6%				
Q6. Order Type	0.0%				
Q1. # of kids	4.1%				
	% of Father's	% of Mother's			
	Information	Information			
Data Element	Missing	Missing			
Q2. Percent of Time with parent	51.2%	51.0%			
Q3. Income Imputed	28.4%	31.1%			
Q4. Income Presumed	27.4%	32.3%			
Q5. Parent on TANF	53.4%	57.4%			
Q6. Gross Income	49.8%	52.0%			
Q7. Net Income	60.1%	60.1%			
Q8. Hardship Deduction Applied	36.7%	38.3%			
Q8: Reason for Hardship Deduction	86.7%	41.2%			
Q8: Amount of Hardship Deduction	0.0%	8.3%			
Q9. Adjustment for Additional					
Dependents	54.2%	55.7%			
Q11.Parent Qualifies for Low Income					
Adjustment	50.8%	74.5%			
Q12.Low Income Adjustment Applied	43.6%	75.1%			
Q13.Income Expense in File?	6.5%	6.5%			
Q13.Rent?	80.1%	74.8%			
Q14. Parent Represented by Attorney?	3.0%	5.1%			
Data Element	% Missing				
Q1. Order Amount	20.6%				
Q1. Reserved	10.7%				
Q2. Guidelines Applied	46.5%				
Q2. Guidelines Amt	91.6%				
Q3. Rebutting Factor	24.7%				
Q4. Obligor is Mother or Father?	1.4%				
V	% of Cases with A	dd-On or Special			
Data Element	Factor				
Q5 (1) Child care owed by whom?	10.6%				
Q5 (2) Child's uninsured costs owed by					
whom?	31.6%				
Q5 (3) Child's education owed by whom?	2.7%				
Q5 (4) Visitation travel expenses owed by	· ·				
whom?	.2%				
Q5 (5) other expenses owed by whom?	4.2%				
Q6. Job search ordered to?	.5%				
Q6. Health insurance ordered to?	70.6%				



Table A-4				
DATA AVAILABILITY				
Data Element	% Missing			
Q6. Health insurance not available to?	1.2%			
Q6. Health insurance right assigned to?	3.0%			